

[USF Reform](#) [Open Internet](#) [Broadband](#) [IP Transition](#) [USF](#) [Call Completion](#) [Misc.](#) [Upcoming Events](#)

### May 23, 2016 HIGHLIGHTS

- The FCC issued the [agenda](#) for its May 25, 2016 Open Meeting and will consider: a Report & Order and FNPRM on a competitive bidding process for CAF Phase II; a Report and Order, FNPRM, and Order on Reconsideration to extend its Part 4 network outage reporting requirements to VoIP; and an NPRM proposing to eliminate the requirements for commercial broadcast stations to retain copies of letters and emails from the public in their public files and for cable operators to reveal locations of their system's principal headends.
- The FCC's Office of General Counsel and Enforcement Bureau issued a [Public Notice](#) providing guidance regarding acceptable methodologies for disclosure of network performance to satisfy the enhanced transparency requirements in the 2015 Open Internet [Order](#).
- Comments [are due](#) June 20 on NECA's 2016 Further Modification of the Average Schedule Universal Service High Cost Loop Support [Formula](#).
- [NTCA](#) reiterated its support for a CAF Phase II competitive bidding framework that measures efficiency and effectiveness on the capabilities that consumers can expect to receive over the life of a CAF-supported network. TDS Telecom and ITTA [discussed](#) the operation of the budget controls under the March 2016 RoR [USF Reform Order](#). [Windstream, Frontier, Verizon, and USTelecom](#) suggested avoiding mandating particular technologies or technological approaches for the CAF Phase II auction and discussed the importance of a follow-on auction for residual areas and/or locations that would not be covered by winning auction bids in this round. [Frontier](#) discussed its and CenturyLink's [proposal](#) for interim support to ensure continuity of voice service to extremely high-cost households in the territories of price cap carriers that accepted the offers of model-based support.
- The Wireline Competition Bureau seeks written affirmations from carriers with pending Lifeline compliance plan requests or petitions for designation as Lifeline-only ETCs that the carrier remains interested in having the Bureau review their applications. Statements must be filed with the Bureau no later than June 7, 2016. [Public Notice](#)
- PRA comments [are due](#) July 18 on a revision of a currently-approved information collection pertaining to tariff review plans.
- Comments are due June 20 on the [NPRM](#) seeking comments on the FCC's proposed collection of \$384,012,497.00 in regulatory fees for FY2016. Replies are due July 5.

---

#### Other Key Upcoming Dates

- May 25 - PRA comments [due](#) on Form 481 revisions to reflect reporting requirements for price cap carriers for CAF Phase II support, for recipients of RBE support, a reasonably comparable rate certification for broadband for high-cost support recipients, and an E-rate bidding certification for Phase II model-based support and RoR carrier high cost recipients.
- May 27 - Comments due on the [NPRM](#) on establishing privacy regulations for broadband ISPs to implement section 222 of the Communications Act. Replies due June 27. [News Release](#)
- June 13 - Replies due on the [FNPRM](#) attached to the [Rate-of-Return USF Reform Order](#).

## USF Reform

- NTCA [met with](#) Legal Advisors to Chairman Wheeler, Commissioners Clyburn, and Rosenworcel, and Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 16-17, 2016, to reiterate its support for a CAF Phase II competitive bidding framework that measures efficiency and effectiveness based not on short-term deployment costs, but rather on the capabilities that consumers can expect to receive over the life of a CAF-supported network. NTCA attached two white papers it had previously filed regarding the capabilities and limitations of various technology platforms that it argued should be accounted for in designing the competitive bidding framework and related application forms. NTCA also stressed the importance of voice services in this next phase of CAF distributions, and discussed accountability in the use of CAF funds, suggesting providers that receive support should be required to show, by reference to geocoded locations, all of those consumers that are in fact able to obtain broadband at the speeds required by the CAF Phase II program. NTCA [also met with](#) Commissioner O’Rielly’s Legal Advisor to discuss the same issues.
- TDS Telecom and ITTA [met with](#) Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 19, 2016, to discuss the operation of the budget controls under the March 2016 RoR [USF Reform Order](#). ITTA reiterated its support for straightforward procedures to allocate funds and outcomes that result in equitable distribution of budget resources.
- Windstream, Frontier, AT&T, Verizon, CenturyLink and USTelecom [met with](#) Chairman Wheeler’s Legal Advisor and Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 11, 2016, to discuss a framework for a CAF II auction in areas where state-wide broadband commitments were not accepted. They discussed the importance of avoiding mandating particular technologies or technological approaches to delivering voice and broadband, and urged the adoption of an approach that provides limited credits to bidders that can demonstrate they can provide superior levels of service to the minimum requirements to deliver voice and broadband that the FCC establishes. They also discussed the importance of a follow-on auction for residual areas and/or locations that would not be covered by winning auction bids in this round and the need for flexibility in the design of that auction to reach the hardest to serve places. Windstream, Frontier, Verizon, and USTelecom also met with Legal Advisors to Commissioners [O’Rielly](#) and [Rosenworcel](#) on May 13, 2016, to discuss similar issues.
- CenturyLink, Frontier, Windstream, and USTelecom held [a conference call](#) with Chairman Wheeler’s Legal Advisor on May 18, 2016, to discuss the provision of interim funding to ensure continued voice service to extremely high-cost areas in states where price cap carriers accepted the offer of CAF Phase II support. They noted these areas are currently unfunded even though price cap carriers retain the obligation to serve them. They also discussed how this funding would not only ensure the continuity of voice service but also help to maintain and promote broadband, suggesting the Commission could require, as in the frozen support program, that a reasonable portion of this interim support go towards “building and operating broadband-capable networks.”
- Frontier Communications [met with](#) Commissioner Clyburn’s Legal Advisor on May 13, 2016, to discuss Frontier and CenturyLink’s [proposal](#) for interim support to ensure continuity of voice service to extremely high-cost households in the territories of price cap carriers that accepted the offers of model-based support. Frontier explained the current lack of support places customers in these areas at risk for unnecessary loss of voice service during extreme weather events, and highlighted the recent outpouring of support for the FCC to continue supporting landline voice service.
- The Alaska Telephone Association member companies filed a [letter](#) on May 20, 2016, submitting additional information on performance obligations detailing improvements in mobile broadband service that would be enabled through adoption of the proposed Alaska Infrastructure Fund.
- The Competitive Carriers Association [met with](#) Commissioner Clyburn’s Chief of Staff and Legal Advisor on May 18, 2016, to discuss its advocacy priorities for the remainder of the year, including allocating additional spectrum resources, deploying next generation technologies, completing the broadband data services proceeding, access to roaming capabilities, and USF reform. Regarding USF reform, CCA suggested the Commission accurately identify which areas would be eligible for Mobility

Fund Phase II support, and recommended the Commission create a new methodology to determine which portions of the country qualify as “served,” versus those that remain unserved or underserved.

- Midwest Energy Cooperative, Ozarks Electric Cooperative, the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, and the Utilities Technology Council [met with](#) Legal Advisors to Chairman Wheeler and Commissioners [Pai](#), [O’Rielly](#), [Clyburn](#), and [Rosenworcel](#), and Wireline Competition [Bureau staff](#) on May 16-18, 2016, to reiterated their support for a CAF Phase II reverse auction framework that would promote the deployment of future-proof broadband networks and provide broadband services in rural America that are reasonably comparable in quality and cost to the types of broadband services available in urban areas. They suggested the Commission set minimum requirements for broadband in terms of speed, latency and usage allowances, and require that projects be scalable to meet more stringent requirements over the ten year funding period in order to keep pace with evolving broadband capabilities. They recommended projects be scored by establishing appropriate weights for the criteria.
- ViaSat filed a [letter](#) on May 19, 2016, to respond to [presentations](#) submitted by the UTC and NRECA on May 18, 2016, which urged the Commission to allocate CAF support based on a “points” scheme. ViaSat asserted the self-serving nature of the UTC/NRECA proposal is evident from the undue emphasis it places on latency, a characteristic it claims need not have any appreciable impact on the end-user experience, and insufficient emphasis on far more important performance metrics, like speed. ViaSat claimed the UTC/NRECA proposal also could result in the Commission paying ten times the level of support it otherwise would need to extend service.
- All Points Broadband and WISPA [met with](#) Chairman Wheeler’s Legal Advisor and Wireline Competition Bureau staff, and [with](#) Commissioner Pai’s Legal Advisor on May 16, 2016, to reiterated their support for a technology-neutral approach to the CAF Phase II auction that prioritizes cost effectiveness and the Commission’s performance criteria of speed, latency and data caps. They also reiterated WISPA’s position that the rules should include a fourth criterion - accelerated deployment, and suggested the framework describe relative weights for each criterion and allow bidders to vary, on an *a la carte* and project basis, the specific speed, latency and usage cap metrics. WISPA also [met with](#) Commissioner O’Rielly’s Legal Advisor on May 18, 2016, to discuss the same issues.
- Karen Charles Peterson, Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Cable, filed a [letter](#) on May 17, 2016, to request that the FCC give special consideration to eligible recipients of BTOP funding and other state-funded broadband projects in the upcoming CAF Phase II auction. She said by leveling the playing field for eligible BTOP recipients and other state-funded projects, the FCC can maximize the efficiency of federal and state public monies allocated for broadband deployment, while ensuring that entities with institutional granular knowledge of unserved areas are in the best possible position to fulfill the FCC’s universal service goals.
- The Massachusetts Broadband Institute filed a [letter](#), stating the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and MBI see the CAF Phase II reverse auction as an opportunity to pursue a public-private partnership that could leverage the significant investment of state resources with additional federal and private support, thereby alleviating or eliminating the need for local borrowing to bring broadband access to unserved locations. MBI requested that the FCC weigh CAF II auction bids more favorably from entities that will leverage state funding as part of their project and weigh bids more favorably from entities that have already successfully utilized BTOP funding
- The Massachusetts Broadband Institute and the Special Advisor to the Secretary of Housing and Economic Development [met with](#) Legal Advisors to Chairman Wheeler and Commissioners Pai, O’Rielly and Rosenworcel, and Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 18, 2016, to request that the FCC consider favoring bids in the CAF Phase II auction that leverage accompanying state investment and build off successful BTOP programs. They also requested that the FCC consider expanding the banks that could issue an acceptable letter of credit to include more than only the top 100 and consider lessening the economic burden associated with a provider demonstrating three years of audited financials from a top 100 bank.
- The Bristol Bay Development Fund sent a [letter](#) to Senators Murkowski (R-Alaska) and Sullivan (R-Alaska) and Congressman Young (R-Alaska) on May 17, 2016, to ask them to tell the FCC to direct a

substantial portion of the public monies that go to Alaska to be used to link the state's off-road communities to urban Alaska with adequate, affordable, competitive high-speed broadband capability. It said the FCC should attach conditions to receipt of public monies to make sure all the money goes for the purposes Alaskans need most. The Fund claimed it is hamstrung with the economic development that can be accomplished because it cannot leverage the internet to its full capacity.

- Lake Clark Air and the Farm Lodge sent a [letter](#) to Senator Murkowski (R-Alaska) on May 18, 2016, to ask the Senator to encourage the FCC to direct public funding for Alaska to ensure that rural communities have adequate, affordable, competitive high-speed broadband capabilities, as do urban centers. It explained access at exorbitant rates is no access at all and asked her to encourage the FCC to impose conditions that would assure access to affordable, reliable, high-speed Internet.
- Empire State Development and the Associate Director of Federal Affairs of New York Governor Cuomo's Washington D.C. Office [met with](#) Chairman Wheeler's Legal Advisor and Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 13, 2016, to suggest that declined CAF funding be dedicated to advance broadband deployment in New York and the other states in which the funding was originally allocated. They also discussed potential approaches discussed in the [letter](#) from Empire State Development and the NY PSC for partnering with states that are prepared to disburse the declined CAF funds, and discussed letters from Sen. Charles Schumer (D-N.Y.) and Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand (D-N.Y.), which were recently sent to Chairman Wheeler on allocating unclaimed CAF money. They also met with Legal Advisors to Commissioners [Pai](#) and [Rosenworcel](#) May 12 and 16, 2016, to discuss similar issues.
- Jack Louws, County Executive of Whatcom County, Washington, sent a [letter](#) to Chairman Wheeler and FCC Commissioners on May 13, 2016, on the importance of funding for voice services for the most rural parts of Washington State. He said landline voice services remain the primary method of communication for these areas and remains too important to be at risk through a failure to provide adequate funding.
- Consolidated Communications, Mutual Telephone Company of Sioux Center, Winnebago Cooperative Telecom Association, and JSI [met separately with](#) Legal Advisors to Commissioners O'Rielly and Rosenworcel, and Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 16, 2016, to discuss their Joint Application for section 214 Transfer of Control and [Joint Petition](#) for Study Area Waiver filed May 3, 2016. They said the proposed transaction would involve two components occurring simultaneously whereby Mutual will acquire all operations and assets of Consolidated Communications of Iowa f/k/a Heartland's business in single study area, with the exception of the Heartland Bancroft and Lakota exchanges, which will be acquired by Winnebago. The Mutual and Winnebago representatives stated they intend to honor the CAF Phase II buildout commitments and requested expedited approval of the Joint Application so they may begin the necessary steps to meet the CAF Phase II buildout benchmarks in the required timeframe.
- PTI Pacifica filed a [Petition for Waiver](#) on May 16, 2016, and a request for a one-year extension of the August 16, 2016 deadline by which it must complete construction of a mobile wireless network under Mobility Fund Phase I for three Census Tracts in the Northern Mariana Islands. PTI also seeks a waiver and extension of time of section 54.1006(f) so that it is not required to repay the Mobility Fund Phase I support it has already received in connection with any of the above-referenced Census Tracts, or to pay an additional performance default payment, prior to the requested extended deadline. PTI Pacifica said the special and challenging nature of the local terrain, the fact that many of the so-called "roads" are actually inaccessible and unserved by commercial power, and other circumstances beyond PTI's control are preventing it from finishing the network build out of its last three Census Tracts by August 16, 2016.

[Back to Highlights](#)

## Open Internet

- The FCC's Office of General Counsel and the Enforcement Bureau issued a [Public Notice](#) on May 19, 2016, providing guidance regarding acceptable methodologies for disclosure of network performance to satisfy the enhanced transparency requirements in the 2015 Open Internet [Order](#). The Bureaus also offered guidance on compliance with the point of sale disclosure requirement, which is intended to clarify what disclosure practices will satisfy the Transparency Rule.

[Back to Highlights](#)

## IP Transition

- The FCC issued a [Public Notice](#) on May 19, 2016, announcing that the next Consumer Advisory Committee meeting will be June 10, 2016. The Committee is expected to consider recommendations on: criteria for determining adequate substitute services and consumer education related to the impact of replacing of legacy copper network services with services based on newer technology, and minimizing disruption in the provision of 911 services; battery backup community outreach and education during the IP-Transition; robocalling and federal debt collection; and set top boxes and alternative navigation technology or devices used by consumers.

## State Actions

- Sprint filed a [motion](#) on May 16, 2016, in US District Court for the Northern District of Texas pursuant to a November 2015 [Order](#), which dismissed Sprint and Verizon's complaints in the multi-district IntraMTA litigation proceeding. The motion notified the court it would be re-filing thirty-four state law claims to ensure they are properly preserved for appeal. Verizon also filed a [motion](#), but it said it would not be re-filing, as it disagrees with the Court's initial ruling and stands by what it originally filed.
- Level 3 and Totalcom filed a [joint motion](#) on May 16, 2016, seeking to apply the schedule the Court adopted for thirteen other Level 3 cases in the multi-district IntraMTA litigation to an additional Level 3 case filed on April 28, 2016. They asked the Court to apply the existing Level 3 schedule to align this case with the schedule for the other Level 3 cases and the actions involving Verizon and Sprint.

## USF

- The Wireline Competition Bureau issued [Public Notice](#) on May 19, 2016, seeking comments on NECA's [2016 Further Modification of the Average Schedule Universal Service High Cost Loop Support Formula](#), which was filed to incorporate the decrease in the recently adopted rate of return. Comments are due June 20.
- The Wireline Competition Bureau issued a [Public Notice](#) on May 18, 2016, seeking an affirmation from any carrier with a pending Lifeline compliance plan request or petition for designation as a Lifeline-only ETC containing a written statement that the carrier remains interested in having the Bureau review its application. This statement must be filed with the Bureau no later than June 7, 2016. The Commission said the affirmative statement need only attest to the carrier's continued desire to have the application reviewed, and does not need to produce additional information.
- Chairman Wheeler sent a [letter](#) to 16 U.S. Senators on May 12, 2016, in response to their [letter](#) urging the Commission to ensure that any proposal to decrease over time the support for mobile "voice only" options currently covered by Lifeline support undergoes careful review. Chairman Wheeler said the recently adopted Lifeline Reform [Order](#) retained long-term support for voice service as part of voice and data bundles and encourages competition among providers within the Lifeline program.

- Commissioner Clyburn gave the [opening remarks](#) at the Broadband Prescriptions for Mental Health Conference on May 18, 2016, and emphasized the importance of broadband in mental health care. She said when it comes to mental health, connectivity can be more than a simple medium to deliver care, and said the kind of transformative shifts in mental health care being discussed will require regulatory creativity and flexibility. She also said we must not accept the status quo that connectivity gaps and health disparities always go hand in hand.
- Gigi Sohn, Chairman Wheeler's Counselor, [spoke](#) on May 18, 2016, at the Net Inclusion 2016: The National Digital Inclusion Summit. She gave a recap of the recent Lifeline [Order](#), and discussed how to translate the new rules into new broadband subscribers. She said successful broadband adoption programs come from the bottom up, not the top down, and said the FCC welcomes new ideas on how it can be an effective facilitator. She also said with the modernization of the FCC's universal service programs, notably Lifeline, the FCC is in a stronger position to bridge the digital opportunity gaps in the years ahead.
- The Lifeline Connects Coalition [met with](#) Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 16, 2016, to expressed support for the decision to moderate the voice minimum service standards and phase-out timeframe. It also discussed aspects of the Lifeline [Order](#) for potential reconsideration or clarification, including the minimum service standards, bundled offerings, explicit enforcement safe harbors, streamlining additional Bureau decision making, the Lifeline broadband provider designation, the National Verifier, rolling re-certification of Lifeline subscribers, the phase out of certain Lifeline eligibility programs, and the rules for automatic benefit transfers.

[Back to Highlights](#)

## Misc.

- The FCC issued the [agenda](#) for its May 25, 2016 Open Meeting on May 18, 2016. The Commission will consider: a Report & Order and FNPRM on a competitive bidding process for CAF Phase II; a Report and Order, FNPRM, and Order on Reconsideration to extend its Part 4 network outage reporting requirements to VoIP; and an NPRM proposing to eliminate the requirement that commercial broadcast stations retain copies of letters and emails from the public in their public inspection files and the requirement that cable operators reveal the location of the cable system's principal headend. The consent agenda items include Enforcement Bureau actions and a Media Bureau action.
- The FCC filed its [Brief](#) on May 19, 2016, with the D.C. Circuit Court in the case reviewing NARUC's [Petition for Review](#) of the FCC's June 22, 2015 [Order](#) authorizing interconnected VoIP providers to obtain NANP telephone numbers directly from the Numbering Administrators, rather than through intermediaries. The FCC said it had rejected NARUC's argument that section 251(e)(1) should be read as limiting the FCC's authority to provide numbers only to telecommunications carriers because nothing in section 251(e)(1) limits access to numbers in this way. The FCC said NARUC does not quarrel with that result, but instead argues that in rendering its decision, the Commission should have classified VoIP as a "telecommunications service." The FCC argued because NARUC does not challenge the actual outcome of the proceeding, it is not injured and lacks Article III standing, and even if NARUC had standing, it could not show that the agency's interpretation of its authority under the Act is unreasonable.
- The FCC issued a [Notice](#) in the Federal Register on May 19, 2016, seeking PRA comments on a revision of a currently approved information collection pertaining to tariff review plans. The FCC said the reforms in the Rate-of-Return USF Reform [Order](#) require RoR LECs to make one-time tariff filings and NECA to make two tariff filings with the necessary support materials outside the normal annual filing period. It noted it is removing the requirement that competitive and incumbent LECs make a one-time intrastate tariff filing to establish VoIP rates at intrastate levels, as this requirement has been met. Comments are due July 18.

- NECA [spoke with](#) Wireline Competition Bureau staff on May 17, 2016, to urge the Commission to take prompt action on the Sandwich Isles Communications Petition for Declaratory Ruling because the refresh comment period is now complete.
- The FCC issued a [Public Notice](#) on May 18, 2016, seeking comment on a request from the Department of Justice for access to confidential and highly confidential information submitted in the special access proceeding and investigation of the proposed acquisition of XO Communications by Verizon. The Commission said affected parties have ten days from the date of this Public Notice to oppose the Department's request, and if the Commission receives no opposition from affected parties within ten days, it will disclose the requested information. Comments are due May 28.
- The Wireline Competition Bureau issued a [Public Notice](#) on May 18, 2016, listing Acknowledgements of Confidentiality filed by parties seeking access to confidential information filed in the special access data collection proceeding since the May 10, 2016 Public Notice. Parties that submitted confidential information in response to the collection have until May 25, 2016, to object to the disclosure of their data and information to any of the parties listed in the attachment to this Public Notice.
- [Sprint](#) and [CCA et al.](#) filed oppositions on May 17 and 19, 2016, to NCTA's [motion](#) seeking an extension of time to file comments on the Business Data Services [FNPRM](#). Sprint asserted that all parties have had ample opportunity to analyze the BDS data collection, and said the Commission has allowed more than enough time for parties to address issues raised in the Tariff Investigation Order and the FNPRM. CCA et al. said the Commission has provided sufficient time to address the issues, and urged the Commission to expeditiously to address the market failure in the BDS marketplace.
- NCTA filed a [reply](#) on May 20, 2016, to oppositions filed by [Sprint](#) and [CCA, et al.](#) to its [motion](#) seeking an extension of time to file comments on the Business Data Services [FNPRM](#). NCTA claimed the CLECs are wrong to suggest regulation of rates charged by cable operators has been within the scope of this proceeding since the 2012 FNPRM or even the original 2005 NPRM. NCTA also said Sprint's suggestion that an extension is not warranted because NCTA had access to the data collection for months is unavailing and ignores the fact that the data collection will be irrelevant to resolving many of the significant issues raised in the Further Notice.
- Cox filed a [letter](#) on May 18, 2016, supplementing its submission of data in the special access proceeding, in response to Commission staff questions. Cox provided information on its identification of locations with a connection to nodes that had been physically upgraded to provide Metro Ethernet services, and specifically locations capable of being provided Ethernet over HFC services. Cox also confirmed that in its initial response, it provided a list of all the census blocks in which it offered Best Efforts Business Broadband Internet Access Service as of December 31, 2013.
- The FCC issued a [Notice](#) in the Federal Register on May 18, 2016, seeking Paperwork Reduction Act comments on an extension to a currently approved collection associated with Part 52 rules on telephone number portability. The FCC said the information collected in the standard local service request data fields is necessary to complete simple wireline-to-wireline and intermodal ports within the one business day porting interval mandated by the Commission and will be used to comply with section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. PRA comments are due by July 18.
- The Wireline Competition Bureau released a [Public Notice](#) on May 19, 2016, announcing upcoming Local Number Portability Administrator Transition outreach and education events to be held by PriceWaterhouseCoopers, LLP, the Transition Oversight Manager. The fifth LNPA Transition Outreach and Education Plan webcast is scheduled for May 25, 2016, and the TOM will be at the Marriott Indianapolis Downtown hotel adjacent to the National Emergency Number Association conference on June 13 and 14, 2016, for an in-person event to give stakeholders the opportunity to share perspectives and ask questions about the LNPA Transition in an informal manner.
- Verizon [spoke with](#) Legal Advisors to Commissioners Pai and O'Rielly on May 16, 2016, to state it is critical that the Commission quickly approve the Master Service Agreement between Telcordia and NAPM. Verizon asserted the delay continues to cost the industry tens of millions of dollars each month,

and said delay in approval of the MSA would also mean delay in the additional planning among all providers that will occur with the transition.

- The Wireless Future Program at New America's Open Technology Institute and the LNP Alliance filed a [letter](#) on May 17, 2016, to state they have completed an initial review of the Master Service Agreement on the LNP Administrator transition and have provided recommended changes to the MSA. They urged the Commission to adopt these changes to the MSA prior to approving it, and asserted the LNPA transition must recognize the coexistence of the IP Transition.
- CTIA filed a [letter](#) on May 16, 2016, urging the Commission to expeditiously approve the Master Service Agreement between the NAPM and iconectiv on the LNP Administrator transition. CTIA asserted the MSA has been developed consistent with the Commission's Order, interested stakeholders have had opportunities to review and provide input to the MSA and further delay to the LNPA transition will not benefit any stakeholders.
- No comments were filed on MIX Network's [Application](#) to obtain telephone numbers directly from the North American Numbering Plan Administrator and the Pooling Administrator. [Public Notice](#)
- The FCC issued an [NPRM](#) on May 19, 2016, seeking comments on the FCC's proposed collection of \$384,012,497.00 in regulatory fees for FY2016. Comments are due June 20 and replies are due July 5.
- The FCC issued a [News Release](#) on May 18, 2016, to announce it launched its new online Consumer Complaint Data Center to provide greater transparency into consumer complaints received by the Commission. The FCC said this online platform will provide the public with more information about consumer complaints and tools to customize how they view the data, expanding the data the Commission produces from a handful of charts and graphs to a comprehensive database of individual complaints filed at the Commission since 2015. The Consumer Complaint Data Center can be found at <https://www.fcc.gov/consumer-helpcenter-data>

[Back to Highlights](#)

## Upcoming Filing Dates

- May 25 - Petitions for Reconsideration due on the RoR USF Reform [Order](#).
- May 25 - PRA comments [due](#) on Form 481 revisions to reflect reporting requirements for price cap carriers for CAF Phase II support, for recipients of RBE support, a reasonably comparable rate certification for broadband for high-cost support recipients, and an E-rate bidding certification for Phase II model-based support and RoR carrier high cost recipients.
- May 27 - Comments due on the [NPRM](#) on establishing privacy regulations for broadband ISPs to implement section 222 of the Communications Act. Replies due June 27. [News Release](#)
- May 27- Comments due on AT&T's [application](#) to obtain North American Numbering Plan telephone numbers directly from the Numbering Administrators for its iVoIP service. [Public Notice](#)
- May 28 – Comments due on a request from the Department of Justice for access to confidential and highly confidential information submitted in the special access proceeding and investigation of the proposed acquisition of XO Communications by Verizon. [Public Notice](#)
- May 31 - Comments due on competition in the mobile wireless marketplace for the FCC's Nineteenth Annual Report on the State of Competition in Mobile Wireless, including CMRS. Replies due June 15. [Public Notice](#)

- June 1 - Comments due on whether certain docketed Commission proceedings should be terminated as dormant. Replies due June 16. [Federal Register](#) | [Public Notice](#)
- June 1 - Comments due on a joint petition filed by BPS Telephone and AT&T for a study area waiver. Replies due June 16 [Public Notice](#)
- June 3 - PRA comments due on an extension of a currently approved information collection in section 69.605, Reporting and Distribution of Pool Access Revenues. [Notice](#)
- June 3 - Comments due on a petition filed by the North Carolina Utilities Commission requesting FCC agreement with the NCUC's redefinition of certain Central Telephone Company wire centers associated with the exchanges of Elkin, Hays, Mulberry, North Wilkesboro, and State Road as a separate service area or areas. Replies due June 17. [Public Notice](#)
- June 10 - PRA comments due on a revision to a currently approved collection associated with FCC Forms 472 (billed entity applicant reimbursement form), 473 (E-rate service provider annual certification form), and 474 (service provider invoice form). [Notice](#)
- June 10 - The FCC published a in the Federal Register on May 11, 2016, seeking PRA comments on previously approved recordkeeping requirements imposed on ILECs that offer international, interexchange services to maintain books of account separate from such ILEC's local exchange and other activities. [Notice](#)
- June 13 - Replies due on the [FNPRM](#) attached to the March 30, 2016 [Rate-of-Return USF Reform Order](#), The FNPRM seeks comments on proposed rule changes to the FCC's accounting and affiliate transaction rules for ratemaking and USF support purposes "to eliminate inefficiencies and provide guidance to rate-of-return carriers regarding the FCC's expectations for appropriate expenditures." [FR](#)
- June 15 - Replies due on competition in the mobile wireless marketplace for the FCC's Nineteenth Annual Report on the State of Competition in Mobile Wireless, including CMRS. [Public Notice](#)
- June 16 - ILEC tariffs due, for those filing on 15 days' notice. Petitions to suspend or reject tariff filings due June 23; replies due June 27. [Order](#)
- June 16 - Replies due on whether certain docketed Commission proceedings should be terminated as dormant. [Federal Register](#) | [Public Notice](#)
- June 16 - Replies due on a joint petition filed by BPS Telephone and AT&T for a study area waiver. [Public Notice](#)
- June 17 - Replies due on a petition filed by the North Carolina Utilities Commission requesting FCC agreement with the NCUC's redefinition of certain Central Telephone Company wire centers associated with the exchanges of Elkin, Hays, Mulberry, North Wilkesboro, and State Road as a separate service area or areas. [Public Notice](#)
- June 20 - Comments due on NECA 2016 Further Modification of the Average Schedule Universal Service High Cost Loop Support [Formula](#). [Public Notice](#)
- June 20 - PRA comments due on the proposed information collection requirement in the [NPRM](#) on establishing privacy regulations for broadband ISPs to implement section 222 of the Communications Act. [FR](#)
- June 20 - Comments due on [NPRM](#) on FY 2016 Regulatory Fees. Replies due July 5
- June 24 - Petitions for court review due on the RoR USF Reform [Order](#).

- June 27 - Replies due on the [NPRM](#) on establishing privacy regulations for broadband ISPs to implement section 222 of the Communications Act. [News Release](#)
- June 28 - Comments due on the [FNPRM](#) proposing a new competition-triggered deregulatory framework for the provision of business data services that classifies markets as either non-competitive or competitive. Replies due July 26.
- July 5 - Replies due on [NPRM](#) on FY 2016 Regulatory Fees
- July 11 - PRA comments due on a revision to a currently approved collection associated with FCC Forms 497 (Lifeline and Linkup worksheet), 555 (Annual Lifeline ETC Certification Form), and 481 (Carrier Annual Report Data Collection Form). [Notice](#)
- July 18 - PRA comments due on an extension to a currently approved collection associated with Part 52 rules on telephone number portability. [Notice](#)
- July 18 - PRA comments due on an extension to a currently approved collection associated with Part 61 on tariff review plans. [Notice](#)
- July 26 - Replies due on the [FNPRM](#) proposing a new competition-triggered deregulatory framework for the provision of business data services that classifies markets as either non-competitive or competitive.

[Back to Highlights](#)

Editor: [Teresa Evert](#) | Assistant Editor: [Shawn O'Brien](#)